Dear Sir

Comments on Applicants response to my submission

Three points still need to be made:

1. CBW has been shown on OS Landranger online mapping since 2018, and the applicants claim that CBW is not indicated on the application site is wholly incorrect. They have also missed the the fact that CBW waymarkers are displayed on Penk 29, as they have been since 2014. As a result I as an interested party was not consulted on this application from the outset, to which I strongly object.

2. Public rights of way have been jealously guarded since the 1920s, and it is enshrined in the planning acts that planning permission does not override public rights of way, or the need to separately apply for a diversion and/or stopping up order before development can take place.

3. The applicants have clarified that the alternative route available to us, in the absence of Penk29, is proposed to be provided in part on permissive routes only, and not full p.r.o.w.s. This Macmillan Way would therefore in the future, at this location, exist by grace and favour of the developer and not by right. I am sorry to say this is a situation which I find wholly unacceptable.

Yours Faithfully

Tim Brunton Cross Britain Way, for Macmillan Cancer Support